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Challenges of scaling Hadoop* Storage

BOUNDED Storage and Compute resources on Hadoop Nodes brings challenges

Typical Challenges

- Data/Capacity
- Space, Power, Utilization
- Upgrade Cost
- Multiple Storage Silos
- Inadequate Performance
- Provisioning and Configuration

*Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.
Discontinuity in bigdata infrastructure makes different solution

**SINGLE LARGE CLUSTER**
Get a bigger cluster for many teams to share.

**MULTIPLE SMALL CLUSTERS**
Give each team their own dedicated cluster, each with a copy of PBs of data.

**ON DEMAND ANALYTIC CLUSTERS**
Give teams ability to spin-up/spin-down clusters which can share data sets.
Cloud based Bigdata Analytics Market Trend

- IDC No.1 Big Data and analytics predictions
  - Through 2020, spending on cloud-based BDA technology will grow 4.5x faster than spending for on-premises solutions [1]
- FORRESTER: Public cloud adoption is the No. 1 priority for technology decision makers investing in big data.[2]
- Cloud-based big data services offer all the same benefits associated with other public cloud services.

Source: https://www.oracle.com/webfolder/s/delivery_production/docs/FY16h1/do
## Benefits of bigdata analytics on the cloud

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent scale of compute and storage</th>
<th>Single copy of data</th>
<th>Agile application development</th>
<th>Hybrid cloud deployment</th>
<th>Simple and flexible software management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Rightsized HW for each layer</td>
<td>• Multiple compute cluster share common data repo/lake</td>
<td>• In-memory cloning</td>
<td>• Mix and match resources depending on workload nature and life cycle</td>
<td>• Avoid software version management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reduce resource wastage</td>
<td>• Simplified data management</td>
<td>• Snapshot service</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Upgrade compute software only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cost saving</td>
<td>• Reduced provisioning overhead</td>
<td>• Quick &amp; efficient copies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Improve security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bigdata analytics on the cloud ecosystem

Hadoop Compatible File System abstraction layer: Unified storage API interface Hadoop fs –ls s3a://job/
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Performance Gap
Architectures – Storage Disaggregation

Replace HDFS with Shared data lake
Performance gaps: System configurations

**5x Compute Node**

Hardware:
- Intel® Xeon™ processor Gold 6140 @ 2.3GHz, 384GB Memory
- 1x 82599 10Gb NIC
- 5x P4500 SSD (2 for spark-shuffle)

Software:
- Hadoop 2.8.1
- Spark 2.2.0
- Hive 2.2.1
- RHEL7.3

---

**5x Storage Node**

Hardware:
- Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU Gold 6140 @ 2.30GHz, 192GB Memory
- 2x 82599 10Gb NIC
- 7x 1TB HDD for Ceph bluestore or HDFS namenode and datanode

Software:
- Hadoop 2.8.1
- Ceph 12.2.7
- RHEL7.3

*Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.*
Performance gaps: usage cases

- **Simple Read/Write**
  - **Terasort**: a popular benchmark that measures the amount of time to sort one terabyte of randomly distributed data on a given computer system.

- **TPC-DS derived tests:**
  - **Batch Analytics**
    - To consistently executing analytical process to process large set of data.
    - **UC11**: Leveraging 54 derived from TPC-DS * queries with intensive reads across objects in different buckets
    - **I/O intensive queries**: selected 9 I/O intensive queries from TPC-DS

- **Kmeans**
  - K-means is one of the most commonly used clustering algorithms that clusters the data points into a predefined number of clusters.
Performance gaps

- Storage disaggregation leads to performance regression
  - Up to 10% for remote HDFS, Terasort performance is higher as usable memory increased
  - Up to 60% for S3 object storage (optimized results, up to 11.5x perf. boost through tunings compared with default parameters)
- One important cause for the performance gap: s3a does not support Transactional Writes
  - Most of bigdata software (Spark, Hive) relies on HDFS’s atomic rename feature to support atomic writes
  - During job submit, commit protocol is used to specify how results should be written at the end of job
  - First stage task output into temporary locations, and only moving (renaming) data to final location upon task or job completion
  - S3a implements this with: COPY+DELETE+HEAD+POST
Serverless architecture: configuration

5x Compute Node
- Intel® Xeon™ processor E5-2699 v4 @ 2.2GHz, 128GB mem
- 2x10G 82599 10Gb NIC
- 2x SSDs
- 3x Data storage (can be eliminated)

Software:
- Hadoop 2.8.1
- Spark 2.2.0
- Hive 2.2.1
- Presto 0.177
- CentOS 7.5

5x Storage Node, 5 RGW nodes (co-located)
- Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2699v4 2.20GHz
- 128GB Memory
- 3x 82599 10Gb NIC
- 1x Intel® P3700 1.0TB SSD as journal
- 4x 1.6TB Intel® SSD DC P3520 as data drive
- 1 OSD instances one each P3520 SSD
- CentOS 7.5
- Ceph Jewel

*Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.
Serverless analytics Performance

- Spark on kubernetes delivers similar performance compared with spark on yarn

Running Compute Services in K8s brings little performance impact for typical SQL workloads
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Architecture – IN Memory data accelerator

Replace HDFS with disaggregated s3 object storage

Shared Data Lake with s3a object storage

Provisioned Compute Pool w/ K8s

Compute services in Kurbernetes

In Memory Data Accelerator
Persistent Memory and RDMA

**Persistent Memory:**
- PMEM represents a new class of memory and storage technology architected specifically for data center usage.
- Combination of high-capacity, affordability and persistence.

**RDMA: Remote Direct Memory Access**
- Accessing (i.e. reading from or writing to) memory on a remote machine without interrupting the processing of the CPU(s) on that system.
  - Zero-copy - applications perform data transfer without the network software stack involvement, data is being sent/received directly to the buffers without being copied between the network layers.
  - Kernel bypass - applications perform data transfer directly from userspace, no context switches.
  - No CPU involvement - applications can access remote memory without consuming any CPU in the remote machine.

Leveraging In memory data accelerator to accelerate intermediate data access

- Leverage new HW technologies & products that deliver significant performance improvement
  - Persistent memory, RDMA, GPU
- Using in memory data accelerator layer to accelerate ephemeral data access
  - Caching hot data in to shorten I/O stack
  - Unifies underlying Filesystem
  - Shuffle/spill to AEP improves latency, reduced GC
  - Columnar format storage optimized for GPU
- It requires a storage and network co-design to fully leverage those technologies or HWs address the bottlenecks
  - Optimized libraries to bypass filesystem, avoid user space/kernel space context switch
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System configurations

5x Compute Node
Hardware:
- Intel® Xeon™ processor Gold 6140 @ 2.3GHz, 384GB Memory
- 1x 82599 10Gb NIC
- 5x P4500 SSD (2 for spark-shuffle)
Software:
- Hadoop 2.8.1
- Spark 2.2.0
- Hive 2.2.1
- RHEL7.3
- Alluxio: 2.0.0, 200GB DRAM Cache

5x Storage Node
- Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU Gold 6140 @ 2.30GHz, 192GB Memory
- 2x 82599 10Gb NIC
- 7x 1TB HDD for Ceph bluestore or HDFS namenode and datanode
Software:
- Hadoop 2.8.1
- Ceph 12.2.7
- RHEL7.3

*Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.*
Performance overview

Using Alluxio IMDA as cache:
- For terasort, **3.4x** speedup over S3 object storage, **1.36x** speedup over local HDFS.
- For TPCDS test, up to **1.56x** performance speedup for IO intensive queries, slightly lower than local HDFS.
- For KMeans test, **1.62x** speedup over S3 object storage, 14% lower compared with local HDFS.
  - KMeans is a CPU intensive workload

Using Alluxio IMDA cache improved in IO intensive workloads but remains headroom in other cases.
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Spark-PMoF Design

1. Serialize obj to off-heap memory
2. Write to local shuffle dir
3. Read from local shuffle dir
4. Send to remote reader through TCP-IP
   - Lots of context switch
   - POSIX buffered read/write on shuffle disk
   - TCP/IP based socket send for remote shuffle read

Spark PMoF: https://github.com/intel-bigdata/spark-pmof

1. Serialize obj to off-heap memory
2. Persistent to PMEM
3. Read from remote PMEM through RDMA, PMEM is used as RDMA memory buffer
   - No context switch
   - Efficient read/write on PMEM
   - RDMA read for remote shuffle read based on HPNL

HPNL: https://github.com/intel-bigdata/hpnl
Benchmark configuration

3 Node cluster

Hardware:
- Intel® Xeon™ processor Gold 6140 CPU @ 2.30GHz, 384GB Memory
- 1x Mellanox ConnectX-4 40Gb NIC
- Shuffle Devices:
  - 1x 1T HDD/NVMe for shuffle
  - 4x 256GB DCPM for shuffle
  - 4x 1T NVMe for HDFS

Software:
- Hadoop 2.7
- Spark 2.3
- Fedora 27 with WW26 BKC

Workloads

erasort 1TB:
- hibench.spark.master yarn-client
- hibench.yarn.executor.num 12
- yarn.executor.num 12
- hibench.yarn.executor.cores 8
- yarn.executor.cores 8
- spark.shuffle.compress false
- spark.shuffle.spill.compress false
- spark.executor.memory 60g
- spark.executor.memoryoverhead 10G
- spark.driver.memory 80g
- spark.eventLog.compress = false
- spark.executor.extraJavaOptions=-XX:+UseG1GC
- spark.hadoop.yarn.timeline-service.ENABLED=false
- spark.serializer org.apache.spark.serializer.KryoSerializer
- hibench.default.map.parallelism 200
- hibench.default.shuffle.parallelism 1000

*Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.
Spark PMoF shows great end-to-end execution time in TeraSort.
- ~13.7x performance benefit over HDD.
- ~5% performance benefit over NVMe (P4500).
- ~10.5% slower than Optane-SSD (P4800), since Optane-SSD has higher write bandwidth than DCPM.

Spark-PMoF shows ultra low shuffle remote read latency.
- Median latency reduces by ~1000x than NVMe and Optane-SSD, reduces ~105000x than HDD.
- Tail latency reduces by ~1500x than NVMe and Optane-SSD, reduces ~90000x than HDD.
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Summary

• Bigdata analytics is the key cloud workload, customer is adopting
• Lots of challenges running Bigdata analytics on public cloud, including functionality, simplicity, performance gaps
• With bigdata analytics on public cloud, a new high performance, low latency in memory data accelerator leveraging state-of-art HW technologies can help to address the performance gaps
• POC with Alluxio IMDA as Cache and Spark PMoF as shuffle demonstrated significant performance and latency improvement
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