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Low Cost LDPC Decoder: FAID™

- Storage using 3D TLC / QLC Flash requires **LDPC ECC** for the improved performance against BCH
- LDPC is especially important to improve the **endurance** and the robustness to **retention**
- Strong Error Correction Coding (ECC) is needed to **limit the number of page reads** and **extend the life** of the Flash memory.
- The very strong ECC needs to come at **low Hardware Costs** and cope with the **increasing throughputs** of the fastest interfaces

**FAID™**: Finite Alphabet Iterative Decoding
FAID decoding

- **Regular Quasi-cyclic LDPC codes** - parity-check matrix defined by circulant blocks
- Iterative decoders with 3-bits messages belonging to $\mathbb{A} = \{-3, -2, -1, 0, +1, +2, +3\}$
- One iteration comprising Variable-Node Updates (VNU) and Check-Node Updates (CNU)
- **Vertical** column-wise layered scheduling for low memory and fast processing
Classical Solution: Min-Sum Variable Node Implementation

- For regular column weight \(d_v=4\), the VNU takes 3 input messages and together with the channel value, generates a 4\(^{th}\) output message,

- Messages for Min-Sum decoding use typically 4 precision bits, and the sum uses 6 precision bits

- Output of the VNU is a message with 4 precision bits
Our Solution: FAID Variable Node Implementation

- The SUM operator of the VNU is replaced by a carefully optimized non-linear Boolean function $\Phi$
- Messages for FAID decoding use typically 3 precision bits, and the non-linear function is defined with 5 precision bits
- Output of the VNU is a message with 3 precision bits
Why FAID have good ECC performance?

- Low precision iterative decoders tend to **limit** the ECC performance, both in the waterfall and Error floor regions.

- **FAID approach:** low precision = 3-bits, but **optimize** the non-linear VNU function to recover the performance loss.

- **FAID with 3-bits precision** has the same ECC performance as **4-bits Min-Sum**.
Can we Improve the ECC performance?

- FAID already uses optimized VNU functions for 3-bits precision
  - not much degree of freedom
- No ECC gain can come from different scheduling (vertical layered, horizontal layered, flooding)
- Improved ECC could come from larger maximum number of iteration
  - **Challenge:** tradeoff between worst case latency vs. ECC gain
- Improved ECC could come from extra precision for the messages
  - **Challenge:** tradeoff between extra complexity vs. ECC gain
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Correcting More Errors with More Iterations

- **Iterative Decoding:** most of the error events (noisy codewords) are corrected within a few iterations

- **Diminishing return** of using larger maximum iteration:
  
  ECC Gain (10 it. ➔ 20 it.) > ECC Gain (20 it. ➔ 30 it.) > ECC Gain (30 it. ➔ 40 it.) > …
Our solution: FAID Diversity
Correcting More Errors with Multiple FAIDs

- **FAID\(_1\)** is optimized to correct a maximum number of errors within 20 iterations
- **FAID\(_2\)** is optimized to correct a maximum number of errors among those that **FAID\(_1\)** does not correct
- Optimization is performed with theoretical approaches (Density Evolution)
- Optimization is **universal**: does not depend on the LDPC code
FAID Diversity performance with more iterations

- Min-Sum does not correct very much more errors after 20 iterations: **diminishing return** of using more iterations.
- FAID Diversity experience **less diminishing return** when using more iterations.
- FAID with **60 iterations** is **18 times better** than FAID with 20 iterations.
- This gain comes at:
  - **No extra Hardware** complexity
  - **Negligible Average latency** degradation
  - Increase of the **worst case latency** only

![Graph showing error rate vs. number of iterations for Min-Sum and FAID decoding.](attachment:image.png)
Performance Improvement with more Iterations

- FAID with 60 iterations max. is 35 times better than with 20 iterations max.
- Corresponds to 5% gain in RBER
- Average latency is unchanged:
  - 20 it: 3.50 average iterations @FER=1e-7
  - 60 it: 3.52 average iterations @FER=1e-7
- Benefits: reduces by 35 times the need to request soft reads
- Extend the end of life of the Flash
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- 4-bits messages belonging to $A = \{-7, -6, \ldots, -1, 0, +1, \ldots, +6, +7\}$
- non-linear Boolean function $\Phi$ is harder to optimize
- 20% to 30% more Hardware complexity than 3-bits FAID
Performance Improvement with more Precision

- 4-bits FAID with 60 it. is **160 times** better than 3-bits FAID with 20 it,
- Corresponds to **9% gain** in RBER
- Average latency is larger:
  - 20 it: **3.50** average iterations @FER=1e\(^{-7}\)
  - 60 it: **4.70** average iterations @FER=1e\(^{-7}\)
- **Benefits**: reduces by **160 times** the need to request soft reads
- Extend the end of life of the NAND
Performance Improvement for Soft-Decoding

- **Soft Decoding**
  \[= 1 \text{ hard bit} + 1 \text{ soft bit} = 3 \text{ NAND reads}\]

- **ECC Gains** brought by extra iterations and 4-bits precision is **even larger for soft decoding**

- 4-bits FAID with 60 it. is **1200 times** better than 4-bits Min-Sum with 20 it,

- Corresponds to **9% gain** in RBER

- **Benefits:** reduces by **1200 times** the need to request more soft reads (5, 7, etc.)
Conclusion

• We showed **ECC performance improvements** for FAID decoding
  
  → **Increasing the maximum Iteration**, not degrading the average latency
  
  → Using **more precision bits** for the messages: 3-bits FAID  \(\Rightarrow\) 4-bits FAID

• Helps pushing further **the end of life** of the Flash

• Greatly **limits the number** of soft-read requests

**Demonstration at the Booth #952**

Fully Flexible FAID Solution on a Xilinx ZU7ev chip