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Background 1: Conventional SSD

- **FTL**
  - Garbage Collection
  - L2P translation
  - Wear-Leveling
  - Read retention
  - Read Disturb
  - others
Background 2: RocksDB

- RocksDB is a typical Key-Value Store System
- Key mechanisms
  - Immutable is flushed into files (SSTable)
  - SSTable files compaction for removing invalid KV
Limit with Conventional SSD on RocksDB

- High software stack Consumption
  - Compaction in RocksDB: space collection in logic level
  - Garbage Collection in SSD: space collection in physical level
- Predictable latencies cannot be guaranteed – 99 percentiles
  - garbage collection, wear-leveling and other ftl task
- Read Bandwidth may be drop
  - multiple write streams(multiple user thread)
- unavoidable Write Amplification
  - GC, WL lead to write amplification
Solution 1 – NVMe SSD feature

- **Stream**
  - expose a block I/O interface to the application

- **Data Set Management**
  - mark retired data => garbage collection be more efficiency
Solution 1 – Limit

- Garbage Collection / Wear Leveling
  - QoS
  - WA
- open block count for stream may be not enough
  - user threads count be limited
Solution 2 - Open Channel SSD

- Host in control
  - garbage collection
  - wear-leveling
  - Translation Map

- Device maintain
  - ssd offload engines and responsibilities
  - SSD geometry
Solution 2 - Limit

- NAND is too complex to handle
  - different nand => different Physical Page Addresses (PPA)
- Application developer must know FTL very well
  - garbage collection, wear-leveling and other FTL knowledge

=> the interface of Open Channel SSD is not friendly enough
## Solution 3 - Object SSD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commands</th>
<th>FW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Object read</td>
<td>Super block read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object write</td>
<td>Open block append program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object create</td>
<td>Block management/WL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object Erase</td>
<td>Block Erase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object Seal</td>
<td>Block management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object inquiry</td>
<td>SMART</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Optimize RocksDB with Object SSD

- Fit SSTable size in RocksDB to object size
- SSTable is directly flushed into objects (replace of flush to file)
- **reuse RocksDB’s compaction** – remove gc inside ssd
  - Achieve predictable latency - no 99 percentiles
  - Avoid write-amplification introduced by the FTL
  - Improve the steady state of the device
- multiple user threads corresponding to multiple objects
  - io isolate with multiple objects
Benefit RocksDB with Object SSD

- software stack consumption low
  - Compaction + GC => Compaction
- QoS is much better
  - GC inside ssd is removed
  - WL is very slightly in Object(block) Level
- Write Amplification is much smaller
- Better read bandwidth
- RAM costs down:
  - Mapping table(block level) size is less than 1/1000

- Friendly interface
  - object interface can be used like API
  - firmware focus on nand
Conclusion

- Object SSD provide a friendly interface to host side.
- Object SSD did help to solve the problem of RocksDB with Conventional SSD
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