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Software Defined Flash Storage System

Memblaze provides software defined flash system – memOS

Commodity components / hardware

NVMe SSD

SATA SSD

Intel Xeon

Commodity server / platform
Design Challenges

- Low latency challenges
  - Write request with low latency
  - Interaction between read & write requests
  - Balance between bandwidth and latency

- Consistent performance challenges
  - Linux OS makes performance inconsistent
  - Multi-cores / NUMA affect performance consistency
  - How to keep low latency within high IOPS
Where’s the Bottleneck of Flash System?
Traditional Write Path Analysis

Initiator and exportation interface has performance bottleneck
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Traditional Read Path Analysis

1. Task scheduler
2. IO scheduler
3. HDD
4. Interrupts

- Introduce IO latency and jitter
- Interface has performance bottleneck
- Interrupts affect performance
- GC/write affect read performance
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Read thread
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New Approach: RISL Software Architecture

• SSD characteristics
  – Random write generates lots of mapping information and make GC busy
    • Sequential write can make FTL works in best condition
  – High random read performance
  – Write / erase operation affects read performance

• Memblaze answer: RISL (patent filed by Memblaze)
  – Random Input Stream Layout
    • Whatever input IO patterns, data layout on SSD is always sequential
  – RISL Includes:
    • Non-volatile write cache: converts any write pattern into sequential
    • Separate read and write requests into different container (storage object)
    • Pipeline and run-to-complete IO model is used to handle write request
    • Run-to-complete IO model is used to handle read request
RISL Architecture

Diagram:
- memFS
- Write cache (NVDIMM)
- Pipeline IO model
- Fingerprint cache
- Run-to-complete IO model
- Read requests
- Container
  - Sealed containers
  - Active container
- memRAID
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Introduce NVDIMM to Reduce Write Latency

• NVDIMM vs. SSD
  • NVDIMM has higher IOPS and lower latency
    • 10 ~ 100ns latency
  • SSD has higher capacity
    • 10 ~ 100us latency

• Benefits from NVDIMM
  • Avoid updating metadata on SSD frequently
  • Used as write cache to reduce latency for write request
  • Convert all kinds of requests’ pattern into sequential
    • Convert IOPS issue into bandwidth
  • Enable to adopt pipeline IO handling model to deal with write request
IO Handling Model in RISL

- Design conflicts: bandwidth & latency
- IO handling model
  - Pipeline
    - Aggregate bandwidth but introduce latency
  - Run-to-complete
    - Reduce latency but affect bandwidth
- Combine pipeline and run-to-complete
  - Separate write and read handling processes
  - Write uses both run-to-complete and pipeline model
    - Adopt NVDIMM to reduce latency
  - Read uses run-to-complete model
    - Expand CPU to increase bandwidth
Write Data Path with RISL
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Read Data Patch with RISL
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Write Latency Evaluation with RISL

- Write latency is about 160us (8 NVMe SSD, RAID6, 4GB NVDIMM)
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Read Latency Evaluation with RISL

- With 820,000 IOPS, read latency is about 230us (8 NVMe SSDs)
How to Make Consistent Performance?

• Mixed type of IO requests
  • Separate read & write handling threads
  • Write request is dispatched into active containers and read request is distributed on sealed containers

• Linux OS affects performance consistency
  • Linux task scheduler
    • Use cgroup to separate CPU resources
  • Interrupt
    • Interrupt affinity and balance on multi-cores platform
Isolate CPU to make performance consistent

- Cgroup makes performance more consistent
Sustained Latency Evaluation

- Cumulative distribution function (8 NVMe SSDs, RAID6)
Conclusion

- RISL (Random Input Stream Layout) architecture is used to ensure low latency and consistent performance
  - Uses NVDIMM as write cache
  - Separates read & write requests
  - Combines pipeline and run-to-complete IO handling model
  - Converts all kinds of IO pattern into sequential stream on SSD
  - Optimizes data layout on SSD

- Optimize Linux to achieve consistent performance
  - Cgroup / Interrupt affinity / request affinity
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