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Legacy SSD Controller Architecture
Anatomy

Traditional SOC Design
• Cached CPU architecture with TCM
• External DDR with multi-port memory controller

Interface is the main constraint
• Host limited vs. Flash limited
• 550MBps vs. 400MT per channel
• One transaction at a time on the wire, 32 max outstanding

DDR used for buffer and FTL
• Circa 2GBps of buffer BW required for 100% sequential and random corners

Not a lot of HW assists
• CPU(s) manages FTL directly in DDR via write-thru cache.
Along Comes PCIe Direct Attach Storage

- Scalable Interface
  - 500MBs x1 Gen2 – 16GBs x16 Gen3
- Full Duplex
- New, lightweight queuing interfaces (NVMe)
- Transaction interleaving
  - Enables ability to access all the flash bandwidth
- New command semantics
  - Fused commands
  - Hinting
  - Name spaces
Side-by-Side Comparison

**SATA**
- 550MB/sec
- ½ Duplex
- 32 command slots
- Serialized Data Transfers

**PCIe/NVM**
- Up to 16GB/sec
- Full Duplex
- Multiple deep command queues
- Interleaved data transfers

Cut out the middle man and get to all the flash bandwidth!
8 channels of ONFI 3.0 can source 3.2GBps

Bottleneck 1: DDR buffer BW
- Need well in excess of 6.4GBps to service flash alone.
- 32Bit DDR3 1600 won’t even cut it
- Wider or faster DDR I/F is not attractive (power & cost)

Bottleneck 2: Flash Data path
- DMA needs to be multi-channel to keep all channels active.
- Bus BW needs to increase to >3.2GBps
Legacy SSD Controller Architectures (bottle necks - continued)

8 channels of ONFI 3.0 can source 3.2GBps

Bottleneck 3: Host I/F DMA & Data Path
- Requires multi-channel DMA to interleave data for multiple requests. If not, transaction tenure will increase as will
- requires well in excess of 3.2GBps of BW

Bottleneck 4: Processor complex
- Direct FTL management in DDR becomes prohibitive.
- More IOPs require more MIPs and more context tracking
Legacy Architecture bottlenecks capping performance with mixed workload.

- **SF3700** architected for bi-directional PCIe traffic.

- **80% Reads / 20% Writes Mix**
  - Design Max: ~1,440MB/s

- **Legacy Architecture**
  - Dealing with Full Duplex
  - **PCIe Gen 2 x4**
  - **Bathtub curve** – not optimized for bi-directional traffic

- **SF3700 from LSI** @ 50% entropy, 480GB, 7% OP

- **Legacy Architecture bottlenecks**

- **80% Reads / 20% Writes Mix Mix**
  - **Design Max: ~1,800MB/s**

- **Sequential Reads**

- **Source:** Plextor and Samsung from TweakTown

- **Plextor M6e:** ~160MB/s
- **Samsung XP941:** ~290MB/s

- **80% Reads / 20% Writes Mix**
  - **SF3700 architected for bi-directional PCIe traffic**

- **Design Max:** ~1,440MB/s

- **PCIe Gen 2 x2**
- **PCIe Gen 2 x4**

- **Source:** Plextor and Samsung from TweakTown SF3700 from LSI @ 50% entropy, 480GB, 7% OP
How is Throughput Determined?

• Throughput is influenced by many factors
  • Flash die limits – a 1TB drive can produce 16GB/s of read BW
  • Flash channel limits
  • Internal B/W limits (e.g., buffers, compression engines, etc)
  • Tenures – how long are resources held before they can be reused
  • Host I/F limits
  • CPU limits

• What’s key is understand what the limiting factor(s) is(are)
  • And at what range of parameters, as the limiting factor(s) may vary
  • And how to strike a balance to get the best performance in all corners
Scaling up and Striking a balance

- Host Interface
- Data Paths
- Flash bandwidth
- Processing Power

What does it take to build a scalable architecture?
Host Interface

- Scalability and Interleaving are critical
- Start with Configurable PCIe Controller
  - multi-ported & cut-thru for CplD
- Add separate, multi-layer bus fabric with arbitration at TLP frame level.
- Individual DMAs and Control path logic connected to separate ports
  - Must have local buffering
- Clock domain crossings can convolute the design
Data Path

• **Bus Bandwidth**
  • Generally good to have 1.5x or more internal BW than you want to deliver

• **Internal Buffers**
  • Write staging buffers
  • Flash transfer buffers
  • Size is based on tenure
  • Mixed workload complicates sizing
  • Multi-banking required to achieve BW requirements (multiple GBps)

• **DMAs**
  • Multiple Queued Requests

• **Inline modules complicate things**
  • Pull through compression engines requires pipelining
Flash Bandwidth

- Multi-Channel DMA
  - One read, one write is sufficient with balanced BW on buses
- Local Buffering in each channel
- Data bus frequency decoupled from flash clock
Processing Power

- Hardware assists needed to relieve processors from expensive tasks
  - Map Lookup assists
  - Recycling Assists
  - Buffer allocation assists
  - Even add assists for background operations
- Resize cache to hold working set
  - Elevated miss rates will crater MIPs
- Scale with asymmetric MP
  - Group activities into multiple asymmetric processor groups
  - Easiest way to scale “run to completion” architectures
Adding up all the Architectural Changes

• When you sum up the required architectural changes…
• You realize that you practically have to throw your old SATA architecture out and start from scratch
FTL Impacts

• Bounding recovery Time requires new FTL techniques
  • Journaling FTL is essential to manage flush rates and to bound the recovery interval.

• High IOPs need FTL HW assists
  • Map lookups
  • Recycling
  • Extensible structures for Storing and Managing Hints
Firmware Impacts

• More commands in flight
  • More resources required to track/manage commands
  • Algorithmic changes – sub-scalar algorithms don’t show up at low IOPs (data coherency, searches, etc)
  • Scheduling becomes more challenging to keep the pipe full
  • Minimizing Tenure is critical
• Flash scheduling considerations
  • Same amount of flash as SATA SSD, but substantially more IOPs
  • On chip buffering requires more robust resource management
  • Requires entire scheduling layer redesign
• Background task management
  • Shorten background task segments. On “run to completion” architectures
Questions?